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## CURRENT ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL CONDITIONS

Prepared for the
Federal Open Market Committee

By the Staff
Board of Governors December 8, 1967
of the Federal Reserve System

## The Domestic Financial Situation

On the basis of additional data, the November estimates for commercial bank credit have been revised downward from those shown in the Greenbook. It should be emphasized that these new estimates are still preliminary and are subject to revision when final data are available. The latest estimates-shown below-indicate larger liquidations in bank holdings of government securities and slower rate of growth in bank loans, the latter because of smaller increases in loans to businesses and nonbank financial institutions and a decline in security loans.

CHANGES IN BANK CREDIT IN 1967
ALL COMMERCIAL BANKS
(Seasonally adjusted annual rate, per cent)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{st} \\ & \mathrm{Ha} 1 \mathrm{f} \end{aligned}$ | July- <br> August | SeptemberOctober | November ${ }^{1 /}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lst } 11 \\ & \text { months } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total loans \& investments | 9.9 | 21.6 | 9.4 | 4.9 | 11.9 |
| U.S. Gov't. securities | 6.3 | 69.3 | 4.9 | -17.3 | 15.6 |
| Other securities | 31.2 | 10.7 | 13.6 | 26.6 | 25.1 |
| Loans | 5.9 | 12.1 | 9.9 | 4.9 | 7.8 |
| 1/ All November rates are preliminary estimates based on incomplete data and are subject to revision. |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: Data are on a last- | ednes | y-of- | -month ba |  |  |

COMPOSITION OF LOAN GROWTH IN 1967
all commercial banks
(Seasonally adjusted annual rate, per cent)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Ist } \\ & \text { Half } \end{aligned}$ | JulyAugust | SeptemberOctober | November ${ }^{1 /}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1st } \\ & 11 \text { months } 1 / \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total loans | 5.9 | 12.1 | 9.9 | 4.9 | 7.8 |
| Business | 10.9 | 6.5 | 3.6 | 5.7 | 8.5 |
| Real Estate | 5.6 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 10.6 | 6.9 |
| Consumer | 4.1 | 6.1 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 5.9 |
| Security | -17.7 | 158.3 | 39.6 | -49.5 | 19.3 |
| Nonbank Financial | -11.6 | -5.3 | 37.2 | -- | -0.9 |

1/ All November rates are preliminary estimates based on incomplete data and are subject to revision.
NOTE: Data are on a last-Wednesday-of-the-month basis.

The table on the following page brings up to date selected key interest rate figures shown in the December 6 Greenbook.

## KEY INTEREST RATES

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1966 \\ & \text { High } \end{aligned}$ |  | 1967 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Nov. 17 I/ | Nov. 24 | Dec. 8 |
| Short-Term Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3-months |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury bills (bid) | 5.59 | (9/21) | 4.67 | 4.91 . | 4.89 |
| Bankers' acceptances | 5.75 | (10/25) | 4.88 | 5.13 | 5.38 |
| Euro-dollars | 7.06 | (10/4) | 5.75 | 6.75 | 6.25 |
| Federal agencies | 5.76 | (9/21) | 5.05 | 5.20 | 5.20 |
| Finance paper | 5.88 | (12/31) | 5.13 | 5.25 | 5.38 |
| CD's (prime NYC) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Highest quoted new issue | 5.50 | (12/31) | 5.25 | 5.50 | 5.50 |
| Secondary market | 5.90 | (9/21) | 5.30 | 5.60 | 5.60 |
| 6-months |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury bills (bid) | 5.98 | (9/19) | 5.13 | 5.48 | 5.44 |
| Bankers' acceptances | 6.00 | (9/23) | 5.13 | 5.38 | 5.63 |
| Commercial paper | 6.00 | (12/31) | 5.13 | 5.50 | 5.50 |
| Federal agencies | 6.04 | (9/21) | 5.40 | 5.45 | 5.50 |
| CD's (prime NYC) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Highest quoted new issue | 5.50 | (12/31) | 5.38 | 5.50 | 5.50 |
| Secondary market | 6.30 | (9/28) | 5.60 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| 1-year |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury bills (bid) | 5.94 | (9/21) | 5.27 | 5.56 | 5.63 |
| Federal agencies | 6.13 | (9/23) | 5.75 | 5.80 | 5.88 |
| Prime municipals | 4.25 | (9/21) | 3.40 | 3.75 | 3.75 |
| Intermediate and Long-Term |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treasury coupon issues |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-years | 5.89 | (8/29) | 5.72 | 5.77 | 5.80 |
| 20 years | 5.12 | (8/29) | 5.70 | 5.70 | 5.61 |
| Corporate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasoned Aaa | 5.53 | (9/8) | 6.13 | 6.10 | 6.13 |
| Baa | 6.20 | (12/23) | 6.76 | 6.79 | 6.92 |
| New Issue Aaa |  |  |  |  |  |
| With call protection | 5.98 | (9/2) | 6.53 | -- | 6.55 |
| Without call protection | 6.10 | (12/2) | 6.68 | -- | -- |
| Municipal |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bond Buyer Index | 4.24 | (9/2) | 4.33 | 4.37 | 4.45 |
| Moody's Aaa | 4.04 | (8/26) | 3.98 | 4.03 | 4.15 |
| FHA home mortgages |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30-years | 6.81 | (Nov.) | 6.63 (Sept.) | 6.65 (Oct.) | -- |

[^1]N.B. Lows for 1967 may be found in various tables of the latest Greenbook.

International Developments
Revised data for the U.S. balance of payments deficit in October, an estimate for November, and details of selected "special" transactions affecting the deficit for the year through November are given in the table on the next page.

The measure of the liquidity deficit for October (not seasonally adjusted) is now $\$ 936$ million, compared to the Greenbook figure of $\$ 1,021$ million (p. I - T - 3 and p. IV - 1). The official reserve transactions deficit for October (not seasonally adjusted) is now given as $\$ 380$ million, rather than the $\$ 300$ million estimated in the Greenbook (p. IV - 2).

Ner York and Chicago bank liabilities to their branches abroad increased by over $\$ 300$ million to Wednesday December 6 from the preceding Wecnesdey. This was a period in which Euro-dollar rates were easing off markedly, as shown in the table on $p$. IV - 8 of the Greenbook, as a result of the injection of Gertuan bank funds (pp. IV - 9 to 11).
(Millions of dollars)

|  |  | Tretai 1950 | 1967 |  |  |  | Nov. <br> Est. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. - } \\ & \text { Nov. } \\ & 1967 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Q-1 | Q-2 | Q-3 | Oct. |  |  |
| 1. | Liquidity deficit (-), not seasonally adjusted | -1,357 | - 241 | - 224 | -1,208 | -936 | -700 | -3,309 |
| 2. | Seasonal adjustment | -- | 289 | 326 | + 538 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
| 3. | Liquidity deficit, seasonally adjusted | -1,357 | - 530 | - 550 | - 670 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | Selected "special" transactions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Investments in long-term deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | Foreign governments (excluding U.K.) | 757 | 305 | 634 | - 228 | 1 | 13 | 725 |
| 5. | International and regional institutions | 196 | 70 | 24 | 54 | -- | 41 | 189 |
| 6. | Investments in U.S. Gov't. Agency securities by international and regional institutions | 244 | -8 | 70 | 57 | -- | 15 | 134 |
| 7. | U.K. official transactions in U.S. nonliquid assets | -- | 8 | 2 | 3 | $-300$ | -240 | - 527 |
| 8. | Nonscheduled debt repayments to the U.S. Gov't. | 428 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 9. | Transactions in nonmarketable, nonconvertible, medium-term U.S. Gov't. securities Canadian Government transactions | - 52 | -1 | -3 | 323 | 125 | -- | 444 |
| 10. | Advance purchases of Canadian bonds | 139 | -- | 30 | -- | -- | -- | 30 |
| 11. | Purchase of IBRD bonds | 23 | -- | -- | -- | 6 | 4 | 10 |
| 12. | Rescheduling of new Canadian issues | - 150 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 13. | Total, selected 'special' transactions | 1,585 | 374 | 757 | 209 | $-168$ | -167 | 1,005 |
| 14. | Liquidity deficit (S.A.) before reduction by "special" transactions | $-2,942$ | - 904 | -1,327 | - 879 | -768 | -533 | -4,314 |
| 15. | Official settlements deficit (-), not seasonally adjusted | 225 | -1,282 | - 691 | 2 | (NSA) -380 | (NSA) n.a. | (NSA) |
| 16. | Seasonal adjustment | -- | - 531 | - 139 | 460 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
| 17. | Official scttlements deficit, seasonally adjusted | 225 | -1,813 | - 830 | 462 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
| 18. | "Special" transactions affecting official settlements balance | 409 | 9 | 82 | 4 | -294 | -221 | - 420 |
| 19. | Official settlements balance (S.A.) before reduction by "special" transactions | - 184 | -1,822 | - 912 | 458 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |

NOTE:
The Commerce-SEC plant and equipment expenditures details for nonmanufacturing industries for the second quarter of 1968 shown in the table on page II - 22 are not being released by Comerce or the Securities and Exchange Commission. Thus, these figures are confidential and must not be used outside the System.

## Errata:

Page IV - 15. The sentence at the end of the first paragraph, on Germany dealing with movements in the industrial production index, should conclude as follows:
a new advance in October brought the average for
the last three months to 1 per cent above that of the preceding three months.

Nearly one-third of the respondents (38 out of 125 banks) reporting in the Bank Lending Practices Survey indicated increased loan demand between mid-August and mid-November. (See Table 1.) This was about the same proportion as in the August survey. In contrast to the two previous Surveys, however, the highest percentage of banks reporting a stronger loan demand was among the largest banks. Nearly two-fifths of all respondents with total deposits of $\$ 1$ billion and over experienced stronger loan demand in the August-November period, compared with about one-fourth for banks of smaller size. (See Tables 2 and 3.) As in the August Survey, over two-thirds of the banks anticipated increased loan demand in the next three months.

## Lending terms and conditions

While, as is often the case, most respondents did not change either their price or non-price terms and conditions of lending to nonfinancial businesses over the three-month period, the number of banks indicating firmer policies--in nearly all factors covered by the Survey-was larger in November than in the preceding Survey. Moreover, more banks had "much firmer" policies than in August. At the same time, the number of banks that eased their policies declined.

Three-tenths of all respondents reported firmer interest rate policies in the November Survey even though the Survey was conducted several days before the devaluation of the pound and the ensuing increases in the discount rate and the prime rate. Nearly as many banks ( 26 per cent of the total) also had firmer policies on compensating balance requirements. (The corresponding percentages in the preceding Survey had been a little over one-fifth.) For the most part the same banks that firmed interest rates also firmed compensating balance requirements. Tighter policies on the maturities of term loans were also instituted by 14 per cent of the respondents.

In reviewing credit lines and loan applications for new customers as well as for those in nonlocal service areas, indications of a change in posture in the November Survey took the form of a decline in the proportion of respondents that had easier policies. The proportion of banks with firmer policies in these areas was about the same in the last two Surveys.

The trend toward greater firmness was also evident in lending to 'noncaptive" finance companies--but to a lesser degree than to other business borrowers. One-tenth of the respondents reported firmer

[^2]policies on interest rates and compensating balance requirements to finance companies, with about twice this proportion indicating firmer policies on enforcement of balance requirements and establishing new lines of credit.

## Willingness to make other types of loans

More banks were also less willing to extend certain types of credit than in earlier Surveys. Between one- and two-tenths of all respondents reported less willingness in November to make term loans, mortgage loans, and loans to brokers than in August. One bank commented that term loans were screened or curtailed to avoid being locked in with fixed interest rates, while another bank wished to avoid term loan commitments because of anticipated weakness in time deposits. One reason given for firmer policies on residential mortgage loans was the low legal interest rate ceiling in some States.

By contrast, no bank reported that it was less willing to make consumer instalment loans and about one-sixth of the banks stated they were more willing to do so than three months earlier. This no doubt reflects the attractive yields available on this type of lending. One Texas bank reported that the State law now allowed higner rates on these loans. One-tenth of the banks were also more willing to make participation loans.

## Changes in policies by size of bank

Smaller banks moved toward firmer lending practices between August and November to a much greater extent than the larger banks in all factors covered by the Survey. Moreover, a lower percentage of the smaller banks were "more willing" to lend to new customers and those in nonlocal service areas than big banks. These changes occurred despite the fact that stronger loan demand was reported in this period by a greater proportion of big than smaller banks.

A partial explanation of this may be the lag effect of a stronger loan demand at smaller banks earlier in the year, since a larger proportion of small than large banks reported stronger demands in both the May and August Surveys. Anticipated loan demand was also greater at small banks in the two preceding surveys. In commenting on their firmer policies some smaller banks stated that the high level of their loan portfolio and/or their high loan/deposit ratio was a factor. Some also expressed apprehension over inability to handle future loan demand, fear of tight money and higher interest rates in the future, and fear of losing time deposits because of higher bond yields. On the other hand, one very large bank reported
loan demand had been relatively flat during the past three months and this coupled with the substantial decline in its loan/deposit ratio had increased its interest in certain types of lending. Another of the larger banks commented that it had more money to use than formerly.

Important size of bank differences also were evident in the types of loans banks were willing to make. Whereas nearly one-fifth of all smaller banks were less willing in November than in August to make single family mortgage loans, no larger bank was in this category. A higher percentage of smaller than of larger banks also was less willing to make participation loans and loans to brokers. On the other hand, in such high yield areas as consumer instalment laans and nonresidential mortgages, the percentage of smaller banks that was willing to make these loans was greater than for the larger banks.

TABLE 1
QUARTERLY SURVEY OF CHANGES IN BANK LENDING PRACTICES
AT SEIECTED LARGE BANKS IN THE U.S. 1/
(STATUS OF POLICY ON NOVEMBER 15, 1967, COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS EARLIER)
(Number of banks \& percent of total banks reporting)


Table 1 (Continued)

|  | Answering <br> Question |  | Much Firmer Policy |  | Moderately <br> Firmer <br> Policy |  | Essentially Unchanged Policy |  | Moderately <br> Easier <br> Policy |  | Much Easier Policy |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. o banks | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { f } & \text { per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{array}$ | No. of banks | per cent | No. of banks | $\begin{aligned} & \text { per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | No. of banks | $\begin{aligned} & \text { per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | No. of banks | $\begin{aligned} & \text { per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | No. of banks | $\begin{aligned} & \text { per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Terms and Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate charged | 125 | 100.0 | 2 | 1.6 | 11 | 8.8 | 112 | 89.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Compensating or supporting balances | 125 | 100.0 | 1 | . 8 | 13 | 10.4 | 111 | 88.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Enforcement of balance requirements | 125 | 100.0 | 1 | . 8 | 21 | 16.8 | 103 | 82.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Establishing new or larger credit lines | 125 | 100.0 | 10 | 8.0 | 15 | 12.0 | '93 | 74.4 | 7 | 5.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | Answe Ques | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ering } \\ & \text { tion } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Consid less w | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rably } \\ & \text { lling } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Moderat <br> less wi | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ely } \\ & \text { 11ing } \end{aligned}$ | Essent Unchan |  | Modera more willin |  | Conside more willin |  |
|  | No. of banks | $\begin{aligned} & \text { per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | No. of banks | per cent | No. of banks | per cent | No. of banks | per cent | No. of banks | per cent | No. of banks | per cent |
| WILLINGNESS TO MAKE OTHER TYPES OF LOANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term loans to businesses | 125 | 100.0 | 3 | 2.4 | 19 | 15.2 | 96 | 76.8 | 7 | 5.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Consumer instalment loans | 124 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 104 | 83.9 | 18 | 14.5 | 2 | 1.6 |
| Single family mortgage loans | 121 | 100.0 | 2 | 1.7 | 14 | 11.6 | 94 | 77.7 | 711 | 9.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Multi-family mortgage loans | 121 | 100.0 | 4 | 3.3 | 18 | 14.9 | 94 | 77.7 | 7 | 4.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| All other mortgage loans | 122 | 100.0 | 4 | 3.3 | 18 | 14.8 | 94 | 77.0 | 5 | 4.1 | 1 | . 8 |
| banks | 125 | 100.0 | 1 | . 8 | 7 | 5.6 | 103 | 82.4 | 414 | 11.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Loans to brokers | 125 | 100.0 | 2 | 1.6 | 12 | 9.6 | 101 | 80.8 | - 9 | 7.2 | 1 | . 8 |

QUARTERLY SURVEY OF CHANGES IN BANK LENDING PRACTICES
AT BANKS WITH DEPOSITS OF \$1 BILLION OR MORE 1/
(STATUS OF POLICY ON NOVEMBER 15, 1967, COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS EARLIER)
(Number of banks in each column as per cent of total banks answering question)


Table 2 (Continued)
Factors Relating to Applicant 2/
Value as depositor or source of
collateral business

[^3]QUARTERLY SURVEY OF CHANGES IN BANK LEND ING PRACTICES
AT BANKS WITH DEPOSITS LESS THAN \$1 BILLION 1/
(STATUS OF POLICY ON NOVEMBER 15, 1967, COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS EARLIER)
(Number of banks in each column as per cent of total banks answering question)


Table 3 (Continued)



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In some cases, original copies needed to be photocopied before being scanned into electronic format. All scanned images were deskewed (to remove the effects of printer- and scanner-introduced tilting) and lightly cleaned (to remove dark spots caused by staple holes, hole punches, and other blemishes caused after initial printing).
    ${ }^{2}$ A two-step process was used. An advanced optical character recognition computer program (OCR) first created electronic text from the document image. Where the OCR results were inconclusive, staff checked and corrected the text as necessary. Please note that the numbers and text in charts and tables were not reliably recognized by the OCR process and were not checked or corrected by staff.

[^1]:    1/ Pre-devaluation yield levels.

[^2]:    * Prepared by Caroline Cagle, Economist, Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

[^3]:    2/ For these factors, firmer means the factors were considered more important in making decisions for approving credit requests, and easier means they were less important.

